**Annex 4**

**Internal Evaluation Report**

**Quality Assurance Seminar**

**Tashkent, 7 – 8, February 2018.**

**Hosted by** Tashkent Chemical-Technological Institute

***Main purposes of the event were*** to contribute to the development, implementation and adaptation of innovative quality assurance mechanisms and support structures by raising the knowledge and capacity of the participating Uzbek HEIs in implementing quality assurance standards in doctoral education.

The evaluation report consists of three parts: (i) summary of the evaluation by the participants (produced by the ELTE-team); (ii) evaluation by UGR-team responsible for WP2; (iii) conclusions by the ELTE-team responsible for WP3.

**EVALUATION BY THE PARTICIPANTS**

Key conclusions from the evaluation questionnaire filled by 14 participants are as follows

1. The 57 % (n=8) of the participants found the **preliminary information** concerning the Seminar received before the event fully satisfactory and 43% (n=6) satisfactory. (Mean: 3.6)

2. The 64 % (n=9) of the participants found the **professional content of the Quality Assurance Seminar as a whole** veryuseful and 36% (n=6) useful from the point of view of their activity in the Uzbek doctoral education. (Mean: 3.6)

3. As to the components of the **Quality Assurance Seminar,** evaluation by theparticipants is as follows

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **Presentation on *Quality assurance in doctoral education in Uzbekistan in the context of the recent reforms*** |

 |
| 4 | 3 | 2 | MEAN |
| Very useful 36% (n=5) | Useful64% (n=9) | Only partly useful | 3.4 |
|

|  |
| --- |
|  2. **Presentation on *Quality assurance in doctoral education in Europe***  |

 |
| 4 | 3 | 2 | MEAN |
| Very useful 50% (n=7) | Useful50% (n=7) | Only partly useful | 3.5 |
|

|  |
| --- |
|  3. **Panel discussion on *Experiences from the job shadowing week in Turin***  |

 |
| 4 | 3 | 2 | MEAN |
| Very useful 50% (n=7) | Useful50% (n=7) | Only partly useful | 3.5 |
|

|  |
| --- |
|  4. **Presentation on *Quality assurance in doctoral supervision***  |

 |
| 4 | 3 | 2 | MEAN |
| Very useful 71% (n=10) | Useful29% (n=4) | Only partly useful | 3.7 |
|

|  |
| --- |
|  5. **Presentation on *Quality assurance in administrative processes*** |

 |
| 4 | 3 | 2 | MEAN |
| Very useful 57 % (n=8) | Useful43% (n=6) | Only partly useful | 3.6 |
|

|  |
| --- |
| 6. **Presentation on *Quality assurance in internationalisation*** |

 |
| 4 | 3 | 2 | MEAN |
| Very useful 43% (n=6) | Useful57 % (n=8) | Only partly useful | 3.4 |
|

|  |
| --- |
|  7. **Panel discussion on *Quality assurance of doctoral theses*** |

 |
| 4 | 3 | 2 | MEAN |
| Very useful 43% (n=6) | Useful57 % (n=8) | Only partly useful | 3.4 |

4. The 64% (n=9) of the participants were fully satisfied and 36 (n=5) satisfied with the organizational aspects of the Seminar.

***Evaluation by the ELTE-team***

The quality evaluation seminar according to the participants’ perception was successful from both organisational and content perspective. The participants developed a better understanding of those education forms and contents which might be the most useful for them in the current circumstances.

It would be useful to have an internal discussion by the responsible organization on the possible weaknesses of those items where the mean value was less than 3.6 that is half or more of the participants were not fully satisfied with that.